

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2003) KLR VOL 1 PART 151 pp. 1 - 246

JANUARY 2003

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. A-G Abia State v. A-G Federation p. 1
2. Afro-Continental Nig. Ltd. v. Co-operative Association p. 69
3. Adewole v. Dada p. 83
4. Akibu v. Azeez p. 93
5. Briggs v. Bob-Manuel p. 117
6. Eimskip Ltd. v. Exquisite Ind. Nig. Ltd. p. 133
7. Oforkire v. Maduiké p. 159
8. Okpala v. Okpu p. 171
9. Sanni v. Ademiluyi p. 199
10. Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

EDITOR'S NOTE

{The entire 2003 set of the KLR is published in 2015}

JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF NIGERIA

HON. JUSTICE MUHAMMADU LAWAL UWAIS - CHIEF JUSTICE OF NIGERIA

HON. JUSTICE SALIHU MODIBBO ALFA BELGORE

HON. JUSTICE IDRIS LEGBO KUTIGI

HON. JUSTICE MICHAEL EKUNDAYO OGUNDARE

HON. JUSTICE EMMANUEL OBIOMA OGWUEGBU

HON. JUSTICE UTHMAN MOHAMMED

HON. JUSTICE SYLVESTER UMARU ONU

HON. JUSTICE ANTHONY IKECHUKWU IGUH

HON. JUSTICE ALOYSIUS IYORGYER KATSINA-ALU

HON. JUSTICE UMARU ATU KALGO

HON. JUSTICE SAMSON ODEMWINGIE UWAIFO

HON. JUSTICE AKINTOLA OLUFEMI EJIWUNMI

HON. JUSTICE EMMANUEL OLAYINKA AYoola

HON. JUSTICE NIKI TOBI

JUSTICES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

THE HON. JUSTICE UMARU ABDULLAHI

PRESIDENT OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

LAGOS DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE GEORGE ADESOLA OGUNTADE

THE HON. JUSTICE SAKA ADEYEMI IBIYEYE

THE HON. JUSTICE SULELMAN GALADIMA

THE HON. JUSTICE PIUS OLAYIWOLA ADEREMI

THE HON. JUSTICE M. DATTIJO MUHAMMAD

THE HON. JUSTICE CHRISTOPHER M. CHUKWUMA-ENEH

THE HON. JUSTICE ABUBAKAR A. JEGA

KADUNA DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE ISA AYO SALAMI

THE HON. JUSTICE MAHMUD MOHAMMED

THE HON. JUSTICE DALHATU ADAMU

THE HON. JUSTICE BABA ALKALI BA'ABA

THE HON. JUSTICE JOSEPH JEREMIAH UMOREN

ENUGU DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE IGNATIUS CHUKWUDI PATS-ACHOLONU

THE HON. JUSTICE SULE AREMU OLAGUNJU

THE HON. JUSTICE JOHN AFOLABI FABIYI

THE HON. JUSTICE CLARA B. OGUNBIYI

IBADAN DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE AKINOLA AKINTAN

THE HON. JUSTICE MORONIKEJI OMOTAYO ONALAJA

THE HON. JUSTICE VICTOR AIMEPOMO O. OMAGE

THE HON. JUSTICE F. F. TABAI

THE HON. JUSTICE OLUFUNLOLA OYELOLA ADEKEYE

BENIN DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE RABIU DANLAMI MUHAMMAD

THE HON. JUSTICE RAPHAEL OLUFEMI ROWLAND

THE HON. JUSTICE MUHAMMAD S. MUNTAKA-COOMASSIE

THE HON. JUSTICE KUMAI BAYANG AKA'AH'S

THE HON. JUSTICE AMINA AUGIE

JOS DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE ALOMA MARIAM MUKHTAR

THE HON. JUSTICE OLUDADE OLADAPO OBADINA

THE HON. JUSTICE AMIRU SANUSI

THE HON. JUSTICE IFEYINWA CECILIA NZEAKO

THE HON. JUSTICE IKECHI F. OGBUAGU

PORT HARCOURT DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE JAMES OGENYI OGEBE

THE HON. JUSTICE SYLVANUS ADIEWERE NSOFOR

THE HON. JUSTICE MICHAEL EYARUOMA AKPIROROH

THE HON. JUSTICE ABOYI JOHN IKONGBEH

THE HON. JUSTICE DAVID A. ADENIJI

ABUJA DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE DAHIRU MUSDAPHER

THE HON. JUSTICE IBRAHIM TANKO MUHAMMAD

THE HON. JUSTICE ZAINAB ADAMU BULKACHUWA

THE HON. JUSTICE GBADEBO ODUYEMI

CALABAR DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE DENNIS ONYEJIFE EDOZIE

THE HON. JUSTICE OKWUCHUKWU OPENE

THE HON. JUSTICE SIMEON OSUJI EKPE

THE HON. JUSTICE ISTIFANUS THOMAS

ILORIN DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE MURITALA AREMU OKUNOLA

THE HON. JUSTICE PATRIC IBE AMAIZU

THE HON. JUSTICE WALTER S. N. ONNOGHEN

THE HON. JUSTICE JAFARU MIKA'ILU

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2003) 1 KLR

ACTIONS - Cause of action - Basis - Ibrahim v. Osim - Facts in statement of claim must set out legal right of plaintiff - And obligation on defendant (H8) Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - Civil justice - Duty of citizens - Extent - Though it is a civil duty of a citizen to expose wrong doing - The duty does not ripen into a right which he can protect - By private litigation (H6) Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

APPEALS - Adjournment - Judgments - Arrest of - Court of Appeal rightly refused to arrest the judgment - Since parties were duly represented - And briefs of argument were considered by court (H5) Briggs v. Bob-Manuel p. 117

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Supreme Court does not interfere - Save when such findings are perverse - Or have occasioned a miscarriage of justice (H1) Adewole v. Dada p. 83

APPEALS - Courts - Action - Jurisdiction - Court of Appeal rightly held that trial court has jurisdiction in the matter - As the cause of

action is one that respondents can litigate on (H3) Briggs v. Bob-Manuel p. 117

APPEALS - Courts - Evidence - Evaluation - Where trial court failed to make findings of facts on the case before it - It is not for CA which did not observe the witnesses give evidence - To make findings based on credibility of witnesses (H3) Sanni v. Ademiluyi p. 199

APPEALS - Cross appeal - Purpose - Respondent who seeks to set aside decision of court on a crucial aspect - Must do so by cross appeal - And not by respondent's notice (H4) Briggs v. Bob-Manuel p. 117

APPEALS - Legal practitioners - Parties - Briefs - Binding nature - Submission not made in brief is of no moment - As parties are bound by their briefs (H5) Okpala v. Okpu p. 171

APPEALS - Objection - Preliminary objection - Failure to argue - As appellants' counsel did not make any submission on the notice of objection - The same is deemed abandoned (H2) Oforkire v. Maduiké p. 159

APPEALS - Objection - Preliminary objection - Manner of raising - Respondent must give three days notice before hearing - And when incorporated in brief - Leave must be sought to move the notice of objection (H1) Oforkire v. Maduiké p. 159

APPEALS - Retrial - Courts - Pleadings - Failure to consider - Where trial court failed to determine vital issue raised in pleadings - The CA is to make an order for retrial by another Judge (H4) Sanni v. Ademiluyi p. 199

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Courts - Jurisdiction - Ouster clause - There is nothing in the Edict of 1978 that ousts the jurisdiction - To determine issues in the statement of claim (H1) Briggs v. Bob-Manuel p. 117

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Statutes - River State Chieftaincy Edict

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2003) 1 KLR**

1978 - The Edict was not repealed by 1979 Constitution - Its application rather became limited to matters - That arose prior to the coming into force of that Constitution (H2) Briggs v. Bob-Manuel p. 117

COMPANY LAW - Actions - Jurisdiction - Federal High Court has no exclusive jurisdiction - In actions arising from contract of employment - As same does not pertain to operation of companies (H2) Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

COMPANY LAW - Contract of employment - Breach - Rule in Foss v. Harbottle - The rule is inapplicable because performance of contract entered into with 3rd party - Is not a matter of internal management of company (H1) Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Existing laws - Power of modification - Extent of - It includes the power to add - Alter, omit or repeal the law - To bring it in conformity with the constitution (H3) A-G Abia State v. A-G Federation p. 1

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Existing laws - Power of modification - Justification for - It is justified by our historical circumstance - Without it the country might find itself operating unconstitutional statutes (H2) A-G Abia State v. A-G Federation p. 1

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Separation of powers - Concept of - Purport - It is that none of the three arms of government under the constitution - Should encroach into the powers of the other (H1) A-G Abia State v. A-G Federation p. 1

CONTRACTS - Actions - Cause of action - Proof - Where plaintiff's case is based on absence of essential prior approval - Such must be pleaded - Else there would be no cause of action (H11) Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

CONTRACTS - Binding nature of - Where party has agreed to be bound by contract - By taking benefits thereunder - He cannot sub-

sequently ask court to set aside same (H10) Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

CONTRACTS - Breach - Substituted contract - Proof - Breach does not often arise from substitution of existing contract with fresh contract - As it must be shown that the new contract was without consent of parties (H9) Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

CONTRACTS - Terms - Pleadings - Party whose claim is based on contractual rights - Should plead the term that gave the right or obligation - And what constituted breach (H7) Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

COURT PROCESSES - Service - Failure to serve - Effect - Craig v. Kanseen - Where service is required - Such failure is a fundamental vice which invalidates any order made - Against the party not served (H1) Eimskip Ltd. v. Exquisite Ind. Nig. Ltd. p. 133

COURT PROCESSES - Service out of jurisdiction - Requirements - Federal High Court Rules 13 & 14 must be complied with - And plaintiff must seek & obtain prior leave to serve (H2) Eimskip Ltd. v. Exquisite Ind. Nig. Ltd. p. 133

COURT PROCESSES - Substituted service - Application for - Determination - United Nig. Press Ltd. v. Adebajo - Court must be satisfied that the mode of service - Would give notice to the person to be served (H3) Eimskip Ltd. v. Exquisite Ind. Nig. Ltd. p. 133

COURTS - Fair hearing - Applications - Court must make a decision and pronounce on every application before it - And failure to do so - Is breach of Fair Hearing (H1) Afro-Continental Nig. Ltd. v. Co-Operative Association p. 69

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Objection to - When objection to jurisdiction is raised - The same ought to be resolved first (H2) Afro-Continental Nig. Ltd. v. Co-Operative Association p. 69

viii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2003) 1 KLR

COURTS - Parties - Issues - Binding nature of - Court is to decide between parties on the basis of case put before it - And should confine itself to adjudicating on such issue (H2) *Sanni v. Ademiluyi* p. 199

EVIDENCE - Land Law - Exhibits Q R & T - Value - While the Exhibits are not binding on instant plaintiff - They are nevertheless evidence of facts - Of ownership & possession by 1st defendant (H1) *Akibu v. Azeez* p. 93

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Effect - Failure of trial judge to determine appellants' motion challenging jurisdiction of the court - Is fundamental breach which renders his ruling a nullity (H3) *Afro-Continental Nig. Ltd. v. Co-Operative Association* p. 69

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Binding nature - Decided case by Supreme Court represents the state of law - And will receive the adoration of lower courts - Until it is overruled (H7) *Okpala v. Okpu* p. 171

JURISDICTION - Admiralty - Federal High Court - Applicability - Though the claim came under the jurisdiction - Affidavit in support of plaintiff's motion did not disclose - Appellant's last known address (H4) *Eimskip Ltd. v. Exquisite Ind. Nig. Ltd.* p. 133

JURISDICTION - Private law - Right - Grant - Court's grant of declaration of right is predicated on existence of legal right - And such right attaches to property and to the person subject to contract (H4) *Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka* p. 221

JURISDICTION - Scope - 1999 Constitution s. 6(6)(b) - A person who claims civil right is entitled to invoke jurisdiction of court - And court is to decide whether such right exists or not (H3) *Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka* p. 221

JUSTICE - Actions - Pleadings - Parties should place their case on the table of justice - So that the adverse party can arrange adequate defence (H6) *Okpala v. Okpu* p. 171

LAND LAW - Extinguishing of title - Conditions - Lagos State Limitation Law - Knowledge of true owner of land - Held under adverse possession - Is not material under the Limitation Law (H2) Akibu v. Azeez p. 93

LAND LAW - High Court - Jurisdiction - Adisa v. Oyinwola - By the case law authority - HC can deal with urban or rural land - And power of Governor to grant statutory right of occupancy - Is not restricted to the area land is situate (H1) Sanni v. Ademiluyi p. 199

LAND LAW - Injunction - Claim for - Sustainability - Claim for injunction is not necessarily to fail after claim for title fails - Provided area of land in respect of which injunction is sought is clearly defined (H3) Adewole v. Dada p. 83

LAND LAW - Possession - Trespass - A person can be in possession through a third party - And possession of a predecessor-in-title is deemed to be continued by his successor (H4) Adewole v. Dada p. 83

LAND LAW - Possession - Trespasser - Right of action - Trespasser in possession can maintain action in trespass - Against the whole world - Except true owner of the land (H4) Akibu v. Azeez p. 93

LAND LAW - Sale - Validity of - The sale is invalid as Dawodu family lost title to the land in 1971 - Hence administrators of Dawodu's estate had nothing to sell in 1977 (H3) Akibu v. Azeez p. 93

LAND LAW - Trespass - Claim for - Scope - Claim for trespass is not dependent on claim for title - As issue in trespass is whether plaintiff has established actual possession of the land (H2) Adewole v. Dada p. 83

LANDLORD & TENANT - Customary tenancy - Breach - Effect - Breach of the obligations which are incidental to the tenancy - Is capable of visiting the tenant with punishment of forfeiture (H1) Okpala v. Okpu p. 171

LANDLORD & TENANT - Customary tenancy - Breach - Instances of - Such tenancy may inter alia be forfeited - Where tenant goes beyond area granted - Or alienates portion of land to 3rd party without consent of overlord (H4) Okpala v. Okpu p. 171

LANDLORD & TENANT - Customary tenancy - Forfeiture - Meaning - It means taken from one by law as a punishment for wrongdoing - And is a usual mode to determine customary tenancy (H2) Okpala v. Okpu p. 171

LANDLORD & TENANT - Customary tenancy - Recognition of right of overlord - Where tenant denies the allodial right of overlord - Tenancy will be determined by the overlord (H3) Okpala v. Okpu p. 171

MASTER & SERVANT - Contract of employment - Employee status - Right not to be declared redundant must be dependent on the contract - And not on any matter of public law (H5) Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Admiralty Jurisdiction Act, 1991 Eimskip Ltd. v. Exquisite Ind. Nig. Ltd. p. 133

Allocation of Revenue (Federation Account, Etc.) (Modification) Order 2002 A-G Abia State v. A-G Federation p. 1

Allocation of Revenue (Federation Account, Etc.) Act, 1992 A-G Abia State v. A-G Federation p. 1

Allocation of Revenue (Federation Account, Etc.) Act, Cap. 16, L. F. N. 1990 A-G Abia State v. A-G Federation p. 1

Bendel State Edict No. 10 of 1988, ss. 1, 2, & 4 Okpala v. Okpu p. 171

Companies and Allied Matters Act, s.299 Shell Petroleum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s.6 Shell Petro-

leum Development Co. Ltd. v. Nwaka p. 221, 1999, ss. 1, 3 & 315
A-G Abia State v. A-G Federation p. 1
Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979 Briggs v. Bob-Manuel p. 117
Court of Appeal Rules, O. 3, r. 14(2) Briggs v. Bob-Manuel p. 117, O. 3 r. 15(1)(3) Oforkire v. Maduiké p. 159
Federal High Court Rules (1976), O. X r 3, O. X VI r. 1, O. V r 12
Eimskip Ltd. v. Exquisite Ind. Nig. Ltd. p. 133
Land Use Act, s. 5(1)(a) Sanni v. Ademiluyi p. 199
Limitation Law of Lagos State Cap 70 Laws of Lagos State 1973 (Now Cap 118 Laws of Lagos State, 1994) ss. 16, 17, 19 & 21 Akibu v. Azeez p. 93
Rivers State Chieftaincy Edict, 1978, s. 18 Briggs v. Bob-Manuel p. 117